

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMED United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS! P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,978	02/15/2002	Edward Sax	F-8040	1405
7	590 10/07/2003		EXAM	INER
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			FLANDRO, RYAN M	
PATENT ATT	ORNEYS AND ATTO	RNEYS AT LAW		
Post Office Box 2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Hallaryand El 22022 2490			3/20	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/076,978	SAX ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ryan M Flandro	3679
'The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:	,	
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16 and 19-39</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-15.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 Septer</u> Examiner.	mber 2003 is a)⊠ approved or	b) disapproved by the
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3620

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 110/076,978





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amending claim 29 to depend from claim 32 rather than from claim 28 requires further consideration.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claims 31, 33, and 36-39 under 35 USC 112, 1st Paragraph and Rejection of claim 29 under 35 USC 112, 2nd Paragraph.